

Wolf	Yates	Zeliff
Woolsey	Young (AK)	Zimmer

NAYS—30

Abercrombie	Eshoo	McKinney
Beilenson	Evans	Meek
Berman	Hastings (FL)	Miller (CA)
Clyburn	Hilliard	Payne (NJ)
Coleman	Jefferson	Pelosi
Collins (MI)	Johnson, E. B.	Stupak
Dellums	Klink	Velazquez
Deutsch	Lewis (GA)	Waters
Dingell	Markey	Waxman
Dixon	McDermott	Wynn

NOT VOTING—11

Bishop	Dickey	McDade
Brownback	Ford	Schumer
Chenoweth	Kaptur	Young (FL)
Conyers	Lincoln	

□ 1332

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia and Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. FATTAH, MEEHAN, BECERRA, SANFORD, LUTHER, Ms. RIVERS, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, and Mrs. MALONEY changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. CHENOWETH. Mr. Speaker, today, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall vote 399. Had I been here, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall 399.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the conference report on S. 1316.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

REPORT OF CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT

(Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to rule X of the Rules of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, and by agreement of the committee, I am authorized to report that the committee continues to work on the issues before it. I would like to say for myself that the committee has traditionally not come to the floor of the House for instruction, as that would undermine the bipartisan foundation of our decisionmaking process, which protects every Member of this body from partisanship.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF A CERTAIN MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 508 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 508

Resolved, That it shall be in order at any time on the calendar day of Friday, August 2, 1996, for the Speaker to entertain a motion offered by the majority leader or his designee that the House suspend the rules and pass a bill or joint resolution relating to the subject of combating terrorism.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS] is recognized for 1 hour.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I would just inquire as to the legislation that is being addressed in the rule. Can the Chair inform us as to the bill which is being addressed by the rule?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is not fully aware. Under the pending rule it would be up to the majority leader to decide what bill will be called up, and the measure before the House now is House Resolution 508. The gentleman has been recognized for 1 hour for a debate on the rule.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, is this the same matter that was discussed before the Committee on Rules last night or is this a new bill that was just dropped in 5 minutes ago?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS] may be explaining that during his debate.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, could the gentleman from Florida inform me?

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Florida will be very happy to, but I would prefer that we do this in an orderly way and get on with the customary beginning of the rule debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from California [Mr. MOAKLEY], pending which time I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purposes of debate only.

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and to include extraneous material in the RECORD.)

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON], chairman of the Committee on Rules.

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the rule and the bill that will follow.

Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from the Rules Committee, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS], for yielding. He deserves our commendation for all the work he has put into the effort to combat terrorism. His background working in the intelligence community and then serving on the Intelligence Committee makes him particularly well qualified in this area.

Terrorism is an on-going problem. It is not just the recent bomb incident in Atlanta, or the possibility that the crash of the TWA flight leaving New York was caused by a bomb.

We have had American citizens killed in the Oklahoma City bombing, the World Trade Center bombing, and the barracks blast in Saudi Arabia, among other places.

It is a problem which is not going to go away. This Congress, representing the need of the American people for security, is going to have to take additional action.

According to the testimony presented to the Rules Committee in the wee hours of this morning, there was an effort in the last few days to put together a package of antiterrorism measures which included representatives of the FBI, the Justice Department, the White House, the Senate and the House of Representatives—both Democrats and Republicans.

Those negotiations bogged down. And so last night the decision was made to proceed with a package of antiterrorism proposals which the great majority of the Members of this House can support.

This rule provides for the consideration of that package under suspension of the rules, which means that it will require a two-thirds vote to pass.

If this package is criticized, it will probably be because it does not include some particular provision that some of our colleagues desire. But many of those more controversial proposals would cause the discussion to drag on for months.

This package is something that is doable now. It is not going to solve the problem of terrorism for all time. But it is a step in the right direction, and it implements changes most of us agree need to be made.

For example, according to the testimony in the Rules Committee last night, it includes a series of aviation security measures, which include things like increased baggage and passenger screening, and explosive detection improvements.

It includes increased measures against international terrorists, such as reporting on cooperation in fighting international terrorists, and action plans to sanction terrorist states.

At the same time is includes privacy act amendments to strengthen protections and to prevent and punish abuses of individual privacy rights.

Mr. Speaker, there are other proposals for action which have been suggested. But some of them involve possible infringements to individual liberties which generate opposition on both sides of the aisle. Those controversial provisions have purposely been left out of the package to be brought before the House today.